

REMARKS

By this Amendment, claims 1-5, 9-14, and 17-18 are amended. Claims 6-8, and 19-24 previously withdrawn as directed to a non-elected invention, are canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. Claims 1-5 and 9-18 are pending.

Rejections under Section 112

Claims 10-12 and 18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as being indefinite. Specifically, the limitation of claim 10 reciting a “rocker portion” was said to lack antecedent basis and the limitation of claim 18 reciting “claim” was pointed out as a typographical error. In response, claim 10 has been amended to eliminate “rocker portion” and claim 18 has been amended to correct “claim” to “clamp.” Applicant respectfully submits that these amendments overcome the rejections.

Rejections under Section 102

Claims 1-5 and 9-12 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Dittmer, U.S. Patent No. 5,551,658. Specifically, it was said that Dittmer ‘658 discloses a projector mount with various portions connected with tamper resistant fasteners/means. Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections. Reference numeral 52 of Dittmer ‘658, alleged by the Examiner to depict a “tamper resistant fastener” is a common hex-bolt. Such standard fasteners do not meet the description of a tamper resistant fastener within the meaning of the present application as set forth in the specification at page 6, lines 16-21.

In order to clarify the claimed invention, independent claims 1 and 9 have been amended to more clearly define that the claimed tamper resistant fasteners are fasteners that have coding for receiving a correspondingly coded special tool to install and remove the fastener, whereby the coding inhibits removal of the fastener with any tool other than the correspondingly coded tool. This limitation is supported in the specification at least at page 6, line 16 through page 7, line 3, and Figures 4A-G.

Applicant respectfully submits that Dittmer '658 does not disclose, teach, or suggest tamper resistant fasteners with coding as now claimed. Consequently, Dittmer '658 cannot anticipate or render obvious the claimed invention. As a result, Applicant respectfully requests that these rejections be withdrawn.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable consideration and prompt allowance of the application are respectfully requested.

The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned if the Examiner believes it would be useful to advance prosecution.

Respectfully submitted,


James H. Patterson
Registration No. 30,673

Customer No. 24113
Patterson, Thuent, Skaar & Christensen, P.A.
4800 IDS Center
80 South 8th Street
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-2100
Telephone: (612) 349-5741